

Previous references

26-20

1922.

Amuzgar)

File No. 393-22

Serial Nos. 142

Later references.

5-1-23

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for

April 23

SUBJECT

Claim of her Chennakesava Rao of Higgga
amount due to him for repairs
effected to the Higgga temple

To The Kewra Commission

No 2627 of 26-2-23

Requests to send a bill for
amount due to her Channakesar
Rao

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(May)

NOTES.

File No

FILE HLADING

claim of Mr. Bhannakesava Rao of Kizga for certain amount due to him for repairs effected to the Kizga temple. out of the sanctd.

From

The Mysrai Court in Mysore

C 1319 of 22-23

No. ~~42 of 22~~ Dated 20.3.23

Received 21-3-23

Diary No. / 833

Enclos *rf.* Spare Copies

Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards in original, letter no ^{HC 42 of 20-22} 788.1.23 from the D.C. Kadur forwarding Kadur D.C. Executive Engr's letter on the subject.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In this office letter no. 3498/Muz 26-20-11 of 10.1.22 the D.C. Kadur was requested to report whether the items of work costing Rs 2374-2-9 not included in the sanctd estimate, but executed by Mr. Bhannakesava Rao on his own

Muz File No. 393 of 1922

Serial
No.

responsibility, were ⁽¹⁾ necessary or unnecessary
 (2) whether the temple has been benefitted
 by these or ⁽³⁾ and whether Mr. B. Genua-
 Kesava Rao was, when he was executing
 these works, told by any responsible
 Govt. officer that they were unnecessary,
 & should not be done.

2. In the above points, the S. D. O. of
 Koppa in his letter to the Executive Commr.
 has reported on the above points & that
 letter has been forwarded to Govt. -

3. On the 1st point, he says that except
 in 2 instances i.e. jungle wood trellis work
 and wooden partition both together costing
 Rs 72, the works were quite necessary -

4. On the 2nd point, he says that the
 temple has been benefitted by the

5. No reply has been given to the 3rd point
 in lieu of that the question whether
 the rates charged were reasonable, has
 been ~~reasonable~~ raised & answered in
 the affirmative.

6. As regards ^{2 items of} excess expenditure incurred
 by Mr. Genua Kesava Rao, one item of
 Rs 541-2-10 included in the sanctioned
 estimate, was sanctioned in G.O. No.

	Serial No.	
J/		G.O. no. 7244-5/ May 26-28-158, 4-7 22 -
		7. With regard to the other item viz Rs 2374-2-9 not included in the sanct ^d estimate, the Executive Eng ^r has now forwarded the opinion of the P.W. & Sub. O ^r officer.
S/		8. In the last but one para of the Dy. Commr's letter of 7.12.20, he has stated that Rs 2000 more will be necessary to bring the works to completion.
		9. Out of the sanct ^d estimate for Rs 8775, the works costing Rs 4207 already executed by Mr. Chennakesava Rao were accepted. Subsequently a bill for Rs 2947-11-7 was passed. Again a bill for Rs 541-2-0 was sanc ^d . So, the balance left out of the sanct ^d amount is Rs 1079-2-5.
		10. The points for consideration and orders are:-
		1) What work out of the sanct ^d estimate still remains to be done
		2) Whether any work is still necessary to be done.
		3) Has the temple funds sufficient to meet the excess expenditure of

Serial
No.

Rs 2374-7-9 claimed by Mr. Chennakesava Rao and the cost of the remaining works to be done -

89 - The local Officers are satisfied 2.4.23 that the work to that extent has been executed to the temple & that the temple has benefited by the same. The only objection is that the work has been executed without due sanction of Govt. In view of the fact that the temple has been benefited by Mr. Chennakesava Rao's trouble and zeal, the objection of want of sanction may perhaps be waived as a special case, & the Mr. Comr. requested to call for an estimate including all items of works executed together with a bill for the amt. payable to Chennakesava Rao for sanction.

86
374/23 Yes
Final Member) 2/3/23

Serial
No8043-70
3/4/23

Draft subd.

Bb
574/23J. J. J.
11/7/23

2

on 26/7 of 194/23 to Mr.
Mr. C. J. J.Requests to send a bill
for the amt. due to Mr.
Chennulleswaradasu —

D. C 31 H.C. 42/20-21
Encl one file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

Chikmagalur, dated 8th March 1923.

DEA
21 MAR 1923
MUZRAI

From

M. G. Krishnaswami, B.A., M.S.S., Muzrai.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

KADUR DISTRICT.

To

N. Chandu, Esq., B.A., Muzrai.

Muzrai REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No. H.C. 42/20-21 dated 2nd/7th June 1922 addressed to the Secretary to Government, Muzrai Department regarding the claim of Mr. Chennakesava Rao of Muzrai for payment of the amount due to him for certain repairs executed to the Sri Rishyasringeswaraswami temple at the place, I have the honour to forward herewith, in original, letter No. 7 dated 8th January 1923 with its enclosure received from the Executive Engineer, Kadur Division for information.

34033

Rev. Secy., 2.

The file of vernacular records connected with the case and

the measurement book are herewith submitted for reference and kind

return

8.20.3.23.

Forwarded, with compliments,
to the Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner
20/3/23.

Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

D.S.R.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To

Muzrai
The ~~Revenue~~ Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No _____
No.

Enclosure No

SUBJECT.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section

File No

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

()

, the

192 .

No.

2627

two done file of records

Date of issue 10. 6. 23

To

The Muzrai Comr

Signed by Mr. T. E. J. Nigam

Sir,

With reference to

Your letter no. C.1319 of 22-23
dated the 20th March 1923
forwarding letter no. H.C. 42/²⁰⁻²
dated the 8th March 23 from
the Dy Comr Kadur S. regarding
the amt due to Mr. Chennakrishna
Nani of K. S. S. for certain
repairs executed to the
In the Mysore Government
Temple, I am directed to

over.

State that in view of
the fact that the temple
has been benefited by the
works executed by Mr. Chennakavale,
the objection of the want of
sanction to certain of the
works he carried out
may be waived, ~~and~~

Leave according to
request. That an estimate
including all items of work
executed, as also a bill
for the amt. due to Mr. Chenna-
-kavale may be sub. ~~along~~
to Govt. for sanction ~~as per~~
as far as possible ~~for~~

List of enclosures.

~~Sanction~~
The records are ~~in~~ herewith returned
Shao ve

UJ 7/4/23
Her Sec
34
574/23

Copied by

Examined by